

The 18th June, 1982

No. ID/SPT/28/82/27468.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kapoor and the management of Executive Engineer Sonepat Drainage Division Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kapoor was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/38/82/27574.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Adheen and the management of M/s H.P. Industries, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5413-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Adheen was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/129/82/27586.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri R.S. Gupta and the management of M/s Bhasin Autotech Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 137, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri R.S. Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/45/82/27592.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Madan Lal and the management of Executive Engineer, S.Y.L. Division No. II Model Town, Ambala City, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5413-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Madan Lal was justified and in order? If not, what relief is he entitled?